

**15-424/15-624 Recitation 6**  
**Differential invariants and differential cuts**  
Notes based on Khalil Ghorbal's (kghorbal@cs.cmu.edu)

**1. Recall the three main proof rules: Differential Invariant, Differential Cut, Differential Weakening**

The Cut rule “cuts”  $A \rightarrow B$  into  $A \rightarrow C \wedge C \rightarrow B$  (if such a  $C$  exists). So, if one can prove that  $C$  holds, from  $A$ , then it’s safe to assume it in order to prove  $B$  (also, originally, from  $A$ ). The same intuition can be used in the differential context. As long as you prove that a given property already holds throughout the ODE’s execution, then it’s safe to assume it by putting it in the domain.

$$\text{DC} \frac{F \vdash [x' = \theta \& H]C \quad F \vdash [x' = \theta \& H \wedge C]F}{F \vdash [x' = \theta \& H]F}$$

The differential weakening rule is trivial (the invariant is enforced by design) and essentially used to close the proof after a DC. The general proof technique in this case is to diff-cut in enough properties so that they end up implying the final condition.

$$\text{DW} \frac{H \vdash F}{F \vdash [x' = \theta \& H]F}$$

The differential invariant rule is essentially used to lift a property about the differential terms to a property about their derivatives. In conjunction with the  $D$  operator, the property is rewritten using the  $\theta$  (right-hand side of the differential equation), which we can deal with as a first-order logic formula.

$$\text{DI} \frac{H \vdash [x' := \theta]F'}{F \vdash [x' = \theta \& H]F}$$

**2. The D operator on first-order real-arithmetic: what intuitions to keep in mind**

To prove that a differentiable real function:  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; t \mapsto f(t)$  has a constant sign ( $f(t) \leq 0$ , say), it is sufficient to prove that  $f(0) \leq 0$  and its derivative w.r.t. to the variable  $t$  is also non-positive:  $f'(t) \leq 0$

$$f(0) \leq 0 \wedge f'(t) \leq 0 \rightarrow f(t) \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0$$

Following the same reasoning, given two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , one has:

$$f(0) \leq 0 \wedge g(0) \leq 0 \wedge f'(t) \leq 0 \wedge g'(t) \leq 0 \rightarrow f(t) \leq 0 \wedge g(t) \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0$$

which also implies that  $f(t) \leq 0$  or  $g(t) \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0$ . This should give an intuition about why we need to switch from  $\vee$  to  $\wedge$  for the  $D$  operator to be sound. Observe that all of these transformations are sufficient conditions. This means, that the differential invariant rule is sound but, alone, is not complete directly.

### 3. Case Study: 3D Lotka-Volterra

The following predator/pray model describes the behavior of the biomasses  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  of three distinct species. We want to prove that none of the three involved species will disappear: that is we reach an equilibrium cycle.

ProgramVariables.

R  $x$ .

R  $y$ .

R  $z$ .

End.

Problem.

$x \neq 0 \ \& \ y \neq 0 \ \& \ z \neq 0$

->

[

{ $x' = x*(y-z)$ ,

$y' = y*(z-x)$ ,

$z' = z*(x-y)$

}

]( $x \neq 0 \ \& \ y \neq 0 \ \& \ z \neq 0$ )

- Apply a DI first (with the postcondition as differential invariant). Observe that the proof does not close because the condition asks about separate properties for  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ .
- Apply a DC with  $xyz \neq 0$  (which is equivalent to the post-condition, but links explicitly the involved variables).
- Close the proof by a DI and DW.

### Quiz

- Can you prove that  $y > 0 \wedge x < 0 \rightarrow [x' = x, y' = y]x \neq y$  ? Explain why or why not.
- Can you prove  $x < x_o \rightarrow [a := \frac{v^2}{2(x-x_o)}; \{x' = v, v' = a, v \geq 0\}]x \leq x_o$  using DI instead of ODE (solving the differential equation) ? Write down your DI.
- Try proving the .key files in the recitation zip file.