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## 1 Quantifiers

Up to now, we have been vague about what, exactly, our atomic propositions $A$ are representing. In order to discuss quantification, however, we need to be precise over what, exactly, we are quantifying over. We do this via a new judgment $t: \tau$, where $\tau$ is some to-be-defined type. Oftentimes, we are interested in some particular type, like the type of natural numbers or the type of Turing Machines, but the meaning of the $\exists$ and $\forall$ connectives are independent of this. The rules for verifying these are as follows:

$$
\overline{a: A}
$$

$$
\frac{A(\dot{a}) \uparrow}{\forall x: \tau . A(x) \uparrow} \forall I^{a}
$$

$$
\frac{t: \tau \quad A(t) \uparrow}{\exists x: \tau \cdot A(x) \uparrow} \exists ৷
$$



By now, you should be comfortable with erasing the arrows to recover the rules defining these connectives for natural deduction. The intuition for these rules should be straightforward - to prove that some proposition $A(x)$ is true for all $x: \tau$, we should be able to derive $A(c)$ true for some arbitrary $c: \tau$. Similarly, we can introduce an existential by demonstrating some object satisfying the proposition.

Eliminating foralls is similarly simple. To eliminate an existential, however, we must do a little more work. If we have $\exists x: \tau . A(x)$, then we may not assume anything else about the witness! It must be an object of type $\tau$, and also that it satisfies $A(x)$, but any other properties must be abstracted out, to be replaced with an arbitrary object with the known properties.

## 2 Examples with quantifiers

Consider predicates $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ which depend on $x: \tau$.
Task 1. Show $\forall x: \tau . A(x) \wedge B(x) \supset \forall x: \tau . A(x) \wedge \forall x: \tau . B(x)$ true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solution 1: }
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, let $A(x, y)$ be a formula with two variables $x: \tau$ and $y: \sigma$.
Task 2. Show that you can "swap" an existential and universal. Do a verification proof.

## Solution 2:
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## 1 The Rules

Recall that left rules correspond to "upside down elimination rules" and that right rules correspond to introduction rules.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, A \Longrightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Longrightarrow C} \wedge L_{1} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, B \Longrightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Longrightarrow C} \wedge L_{2} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \Gamma \Longrightarrow B}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \wedge R \\
& \frac{\Gamma, A \vee B, A \Longrightarrow C \quad \Gamma, A \vee B, B \Longrightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \vee B \Longrightarrow C} \vee L \quad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \vee R_{1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow B}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \vee R_{2} \\
& \text { No TL. } \quad \overline{\Gamma \Longrightarrow} \top \bar{T} \quad \overline{\Gamma, \perp \Longrightarrow C} \perp L \quad \text { No } \perp R \text {. } \\
& \frac{\Gamma, A \supset B \Longrightarrow A \quad \Gamma, A \supset B, B \Longrightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Longrightarrow C} \supset L \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow B}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \supset B} \supset R
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\overline{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow A} \text { id }
$$

## 2 Some Example Proofs

Task 1. $\cdot \Longrightarrow A \supset A$

## Solution 1:

$$
\frac{\overline{A \Longrightarrow A} \text { id }}{\cdot \Longrightarrow A \supset A} \supset R
$$

Task 2. $\cdot \Longrightarrow A \wedge B \supset B \wedge A$

## Solution 2:

Task 3. $\cdot \Longrightarrow(A \supset(B \wedge C)) \supset(A \supset B)$

## Solution 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(A \supset(B \wedge C)), A \Longrightarrow A}{(d)} \frac{(A \supset(B \wedge C)), A, B \wedge C, B \Longrightarrow B}{(A \supset(B \wedge C)), A, B \wedge C \Longrightarrow B} & \text { id } \\
\wedge & L_{1} \\
& \frac{(A \supset(B \wedge C)), A \Longrightarrow B}{(A \supset(B \wedge C)) \Longrightarrow(A \supset B)} \supset R \\
\cdot \Longrightarrow(A \supset(B \wedge C)) \supset(A \supset B) & \Longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

Task 4. $\Longrightarrow(A \supset B \supset C) \supset B \supset A \supset C$

## Solution 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\overline{A \supset B \supset C, B, A \Longrightarrow A}}{} \text { id } \frac{\overline{A \supset B \supset C, B, A, B \supset C \Longrightarrow B}}{} \text { id } \overline{A \supset B \supset C, B, A, B \supset C, C \Longrightarrow C \supset C, B, A, B \supset C \Longrightarrow C} \supset L \\
& \text { id } \\
& \quad \frac{A \supset B \supset C, B, A \Longrightarrow C}{A \supset B \supset C, B \Longrightarrow A \supset C} \supset R \\
& \frac{A \supset B \supset C \Longrightarrow B \supset A \supset C}{A \supset R} \\
& \hdashline(A \supset B \supset C) \supset B \supset A \supset C
\end{aligned}
$$

Task 5. $\cdot \Longrightarrow(A \supset B) \supset((A \wedge C) \supset(B \wedge C))$

## Solution 5:

## 3 Cuts

As a reminder, the cut theorem is as follows: If $\Gamma \Longrightarrow A$ and $\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow C$, then $\Gamma \Longrightarrow C$, where $A$ and $C$ are arbitrary propositions.
In class, we saw portions of the proof of admissibility for the cut rule.
Task 6. Finish the case for the proof of admissibility of cut where $\mathcal{E}$ ends in $\supset R$, and $A$ is not the principal formula of the last inference in $\mathcal{E}$.

Solution 6: We have that

$$
\mathcal{D}=\Gamma \Longrightarrow A
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}=\quad \frac{E_{1}}{\Gamma, A, C_{1} \Longrightarrow C_{2}}{ }_{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow C_{1} \supset C_{2}}^{\Longrightarrow} \supset R
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C=C_{1} \supset C_{2} & \text { this case } \\
\Gamma, C_{1} \Longrightarrow A & \text { weakening of } \mathcal{D} \\
\Gamma, C_{1} \Longrightarrow C_{2} & \text { IH on } A, \text { weakening of } \mathcal{D} \text {, and } \mathcal{E}_{1} \\
\Gamma \Longrightarrow C_{1} \supset C_{2} & \text { by rule } \supset R \text { on above }
\end{aligned}
$$

Task 7. What would the derivations $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ look like if we wanted to do the same case as above, but with $\supset L$ instead of $\supset R$ as the last derivation in $\mathcal{E}$ ?

## Solution 7:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}=\Gamma \Longrightarrow A \\
\mathcal{E}=\quad \frac{E_{1}}{\Gamma^{\prime}, B_{1} \supset B_{2}, A \Longrightarrow B_{1} \quad \Gamma^{\prime}, B_{1} \supset B_{2}, A, B_{2} \Longrightarrow C} \\
\Gamma^{\prime}, B_{1} \supset B_{2}, A \Longrightarrow C \\
\end{gathered}
$$

