

Recitation 4: Quantification and Arithmetic

Course Staff

1 Quantifiers

Up to now, we have been vague about what, exactly, our atomic propositions A are representing. In order to discuss quantification, however, we need to be precise over what, exactly, we are quantifying over. We do this via a new judgment $t : \tau$, where τ is some to-be-defined type. Oftentimes, we are interested in some particular type, like the type of natural numbers or the type of Turing Machines, but the meaning of the \exists and \forall connectives are independent of this.

The rules for verifying these are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{c : A} \\
 \vdots \\
 A(c) \uparrow \\
 \hline
 \forall x : \tau. A(x) \uparrow \quad \forall I^c
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \forall x : \tau. A(x) \downarrow \quad t : \tau \\
 \hline
 A(t) \downarrow \quad \forall E
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 t : \tau \quad A(t) \uparrow \\
 \hline
 \exists x : \tau. A(x) \uparrow \quad \exists I
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{c : \tau} \quad \overline{A(c) \downarrow}^u \\
 \vdots \\
 C \uparrow \\
 \hline
 \exists x : \tau. A(x) \downarrow \quad C \uparrow \quad \exists E^{c,u}
 \end{array}$$

By now, you should be comfortable with erasing the arrows to recover the rules defining these connectives for natural deduction. The intuition for these rules should be straightforward – to prove that some proposition $A(x)$ is true for all $x : \tau$, we should be able to derive $A(c)$ true for some arbitrary $c : \tau$. Similarly, we can introduce an existential by demonstrating some object satisfying the proposition.

Eliminating forall is similarly simple. To eliminate an existential, however, we must do a little more work. If we have $\exists x : \tau. A(x)$, then we may not assume anything else about the witness! It must be an object of type τ , and also that it satisfies $A(x)$, but any other properties must be abstracted out, to be replaced with an arbitrary object with the known properties.

2 Examples with quantifiers

Consider predicates $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ which depend on $x : \tau$. We will show $\forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge B(x) \supset \forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge \forall x : \tau. B(x)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge B(x) \text{ true}}^p \quad \overline{u : \tau} \\
 \hline
 A(u) \wedge B(u) \text{ true} \\
 \hline
 A(u) \text{ true} \quad \wedge E_1 \\
 \hline
 \forall x : \tau. A(x) \text{ true} \quad \forall I_u \\
 \hline
 \overline{\forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge \forall x : \tau. B(x) \text{ true}} \\
 \hline
 \forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge B(x) \supset \forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge \forall x : \tau. B(x) \text{ true} \quad \supset I^p
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\forall x : \tau. A(x) \wedge B(x) \text{ true}}^p \quad \overline{v : \tau} \\
 \hline
 A(v) \wedge B(v) \text{ true} \\
 \hline
 A(v) \text{ true} \quad \wedge E_2 \\
 \hline
 \forall x : \tau. B(x) \text{ true} \quad \forall I_v \\
 \hline
 \wedge I
 \end{array}$$

Next, let $A(x, y)$ be a formula with two variables $x : \tau$ and $y : \sigma$. We will show that you can “swap” an existential and universal.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\forall x : \sigma. A(x, d)} \downarrow^v \quad \overline{c : \sigma}}{\forall E} \quad \overline{d : \tau}}{\exists y : \tau. A(c, y) \uparrow} \quad \exists I \quad \exists E^{d,v}}{\exists y : \tau. A(c, y) \uparrow} \quad \forall I^c}{\forall x : \sigma. \exists y : \tau. A(x, y) \uparrow} \quad \supset I^u}{(\exists y : \tau. \forall x : \sigma. A(x, y)) \supset (\forall x : \sigma. \exists y : \tau. A(x, y)) \uparrow} \quad \supset I^u$$

3 Heyting Arithmetic

Now that we have fully explored the surrounding machinery, let’s try and look at a more sophisticated system of logic.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{x : \text{nat}} \quad \overline{C(x) \text{ true}} \quad u}{\vdots} \quad \overline{C(S x) \text{ true}}}{\overline{C(0) \text{ true}} \quad \overline{C(n) \text{ true}}} \quad \text{natE}^{x,u}}{\overline{C(n) \text{ true}}}$$

The other was the *rule of primitive recursion*, which introduces a new term constructor R for each type τ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{x : \text{nat}} \quad \overline{r : \tau}}{\vdots} \quad \overline{t_s : \tau}}{\overline{R(n, t_0, x. r. t_s) : \tau}} \quad \text{natE}^{x,r}$$

Its behaviour is captured by the following reduction rules:

$$\begin{aligned} R(0, t_0, x. r. t_s) &\Longrightarrow_R t_0, \\ R(S n', t_0, x. r. t_s) &\Longrightarrow_R [R(n', t_0, x. r. t_s)/r][n'/x] t_s. \end{aligned}$$

These rules R indicate that R describes a recursive function “ $R(n)$ ” on the first parameter, with value t_0 when $n = 0$, and value $[R(n')/r][n'/x] t_s$ when $n = S n'$. This motivates the more readable *schema of primitive recursion*, where we define the function (call it “ f ” to avoid confusion) f by cases:

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= t_0, \\ f(S x) &= t_s(x, f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We can recover the recursor version of the definition as follows:

$$f = (\text{fn } n \Rightarrow R(n, t_0, x.r.t_s(x, r))).$$

3.1 Working with these ideas

The judgmental form of the principle of induction can be used to show the following more traditional formulation that uses universal quantification:

$$\forall n : \text{nat}. C(0) \supset (\forall x : \text{nat}. C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n) \text{ true}.$$

As an exercise, try it before looking at the solution.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\forall x : \text{nat. } C(x) \supset C(S x)}^v \quad \overline{x : \text{nat}}}{C(x) \supset C(S x)} \quad \forall E \quad \overline{C(x)}^w}{\overline{C(S x)}} \supset E}{\overline{C(n)}} \text{natE}^{x,w} \\
 \frac{\overline{n : \text{nat}} \quad \overline{C(0)}^u}{\overline{C(n)}} \\
 \frac{\overline{C(n)}}{\overline{(\forall x : \text{nat. } C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n)}} \supset I^v \\
 \frac{\overline{C(0) \supset (\forall x : \text{nat. } C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n)}}{\overline{\forall n : \text{nat. } C(0) \supset (\forall x : \text{nat. } C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n)}} \supset I^u \quad \forall I^n
 \end{array}$$