

Recitation 2: Harmony

Course Staff

Proof-theoretic harmony is a necessary, but not sufficient, condition for the well-behavedness of a logic; harmony ensures that the connectives are *locally* well-behaved, and is closely related to the critical cases of cut and identity elimination which we may discuss later on. Therefore, when designing or extending a logic, checking harmony is a first step.

From the verificationist standpoint, a connective is *harmonious* if its elimination rules are neither too strong nor too weak in relation to its introduction rules. The first condition is called *local soundness* and the second condition is called *local completeness*. The content of the soundness condition is a method to reduce or simplify proofs, and the content of completeness is a method to expand any arbitrary proof into a canonical proof (i.e. one that ends in an introduction rule).

1 Conjunction

Local soundness for conjunction is witnessed by the following two reduction rules:

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{B \text{ true}}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I}{\frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1} \longrightarrow_R \frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{B \text{ true}}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I}{\frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2} \longrightarrow_R \frac{\mathcal{E}}{B \text{ true}}$$

Local completeness is witnessed by the following expansion rule:

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \longrightarrow_E \frac{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}}}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1 \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}}}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I$$

When regarded as generating relations on *programs* rather than proofs, the reduction and expansion rules can be recast into another familiar format:

$$\pi_1(\langle M, N \rangle) \longrightarrow_R M$$

$$\pi_2(\langle M, N \rangle) \longrightarrow_R N$$

$$M \longrightarrow_E \langle \pi_1(M), \pi_2(M) \rangle$$

2 Disjunction

Instructions: present local soundness for proofs, and ask the students to come up with the version for programs. Next, elicit from the students both local completeness for programs and for proofs.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_1 \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u}{\mathcal{E}} \quad \frac{\overline{B \text{ true}}^v}{\mathcal{F}}}{C \text{ true}} \vee E^{u,v}}{C \text{ true}} \rightarrow_R \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}}^u}{\mathcal{E}}}{C \text{ true}} \\
 \\
 \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{B \text{ true}}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_2 \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u}{\mathcal{E}} \quad \frac{\overline{B \text{ true}}^v}{\mathcal{F}}}{C \text{ true}} \vee E^{u,v}}{C \text{ true}} \rightarrow_R \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}}{B \text{ true}}^v}{\mathcal{F}}}{C \text{ true}} \\
 \\
 \text{case } \text{inl}(M) \text{ of } \text{inl}(u) \Rightarrow L \mid \text{inr}(v) \Rightarrow R \rightarrow_R [M / u]L \\
 \text{case } \text{inr}(M) \text{ of } \text{inl}(u) \Rightarrow L \mid \text{inr}(v) \Rightarrow R \rightarrow_R [M / v]R
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \rightarrow_E \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \quad \frac{A \text{ true}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_1 \quad \frac{B \text{ true}}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_2}{A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee E^{u,v}}{M \rightarrow_E \text{ case } M \text{ of } \text{inl}(u) \Rightarrow \text{inl}(u) \mid \text{inr}(v) \Rightarrow \text{inr}(v)}$$

3 Implication

Elicit both local soundness and local completeness from students in both proof and program notation.

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u}{\mathcal{D}}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I^u \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{A \text{ true}}}{B \text{ true}} \supset E \rightarrow_R \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{A \text{ true}}^u}{\mathcal{D}}}{B \text{ true}} \\
 (\lambda u. M)(N) \rightarrow_R [N / u]M$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \rightarrow_E \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \quad \overline{A \text{ true}}^u}{B \text{ true}} \supset E}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I^u \\
 M \rightarrow_E \lambda u. M(u)$$

4 Experiment: Alternative Implication

What if we replaced the $\supset E$ rule with the following elimination rule:

$$\frac{\overline{B \text{ true}}^u \quad \vdots \quad A \supset B \text{ true} \quad A \text{ true} \quad C \text{ true}}{C \text{ true}} \supset E^u$$

The program/proof term assignment is as follows:

$$\frac{\overline{u : B}^u \quad \vdots \quad L : A \supset B \quad M : A \quad N : C}{\text{let } u = L(M) \text{ in } N : C} \supset E^u$$

Can we show local soundness and completeness for this version of the implication connective?

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^v \quad \mathcal{D} \quad B \text{ true}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I^v \quad \frac{\mathcal{E} \quad A \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\overline{B \text{ true}}^u \quad \mathcal{F} \quad C \text{ true}}{C \text{ true}} \supset E^u}{C \text{ true}} \supset E^u \rightarrow_R \frac{\frac{\mathcal{E} \quad A \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}}^v \quad \mathcal{D} \quad B \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}}^u \quad \frac{\overline{B \text{ true}}^u \quad \mathcal{F} \quad C \text{ true}}{C \text{ true}}}{C \text{ true}} \supset E^u$$

$$\text{let } u = \lambda v. L(M) \text{ in } N \rightarrow_R \frac{[[M / v]L / u]N}{\text{let } u = \lambda v. L(M) \text{ in } N}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D} \quad A \supset B \text{ true}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \rightarrow_E \quad \frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u \quad \overline{B \text{ true}}^v}{B \text{ true}} \supset I^u}{\frac{A \supset B \text{ true}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset E^u} \supset E^v$$

$$M \rightarrow_E \lambda u. \text{let } v = M(u) \text{ in } v$$