

# 1 Quantifier proofs

**Task 1.**  $(\forall x : \tau. \neg A(x)) \supset (\neg \exists x : \tau. A(x))$  true

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\exists x : \tau. A(x)} \text{ true}^v \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{A(t)} \text{ true}^w}{\perp \text{ true}}}{\exists E^{t,w}}}{\perp \text{ true}} \supset I^v}{\forall x : \tau. \neg A(x) \supset \neg \exists x : \tau. A(x)} \supset I^u}{\forall x : \tau. \neg A(x) \supset \neg \exists x : \tau. A(x)} \supset E \quad \forall E \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\exists x : \tau. A(x)} \text{ true}^v \quad \frac{\overline{A(t)} \text{ true}^w}{\perp \text{ true}}}{\exists E^{t,w}}}{\perp \text{ true}} \supset I^v}{\forall x : \tau. \neg A(x) \supset \neg \exists x : \tau. A(x)} \supset I^u}
 \end{array}$$

**Task 2.**  $(\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \supset (\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x))$  true

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)} \text{ true}^u \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{a} : \tau} \quad \frac{\overline{P(a) \wedge Q(a)} \text{ true}^v}{P(a) \text{ true}} \wedge E_L}{\exists I} \quad \frac{\overline{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)} \text{ true}^u}{\exists E^{a,v}}}{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \text{ true}}}{\exists x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}} \wedge I}{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x)} \wedge I}{(\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \supset (\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x)) \text{ true}} \supset I^u}{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)} \text{ true}^u \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{b} : \tau} \quad \frac{\overline{P(a) \wedge Q(a)} \text{ true}^w}{Q(a) \text{ true}} \wedge E_R}{\exists I} \quad \frac{\overline{\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)} \text{ true}^u}{\exists E^{b,w}}
 \end{array}$$

**Task 3.**  $(P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x)) \supset (\forall x : \tau. P \vee Q(x))$  true

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x)} \text{ true}^u \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{P} \text{ true}^v}{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}} \vee I_L}{\vee I^a} \quad \frac{\overline{\forall x : \tau. Q(x)} \text{ true}^w \quad \overline{a} : \tau}{\frac{Q(a) \text{ true}}{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}} \vee I_R} \vee E^{v,w}}{\frac{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}}{\forall x : \tau. P \vee Q(x) \text{ true}} \forall I^a}}{\frac{P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x) \supset \forall x : \tau. P \vee Q(x) \text{ true}} \supset I^u}
 \end{array}$$

[Evan: In recitation I mentioned that the converse of this task,  $(\forall x:\tau.P \vee Q(x)) \supset P \vee \forall x:\tau.Q(x)$  true, is classically but not constructively provable. Constructively, the assumption  $\forall x:\tau.P \vee Q(x)$  true we get is a program  $M$  which, for any  $x:\tau$ , spits out either a proof of  $P$  true or a proof of  $Q(x)$  true. We are given this program, and we want to construct either a program that proves  $P$  true or one that proves  $\forall y:\tau.Q(y)$  true (I'm changing variable names for clarity here).

If we are thinking classically, then we know that either  $M(x)$  produces a proof of  $P$  true on some  $x:\tau$  or it produces a proof of  $Q(x)$  true for every  $x:\tau$ . In either case, we have what we need.

Constructively, however, we can't decide that one of these is true without concrete evidence. We have to either find an  $x:\tau$  such that  $M(x)$  gives a proof of  $P$  true, or check that it produces a  $Q(x)$  for every  $x:\tau$ . If  $\tau = \text{nat}$ , say, we can start enumerating natural numbers  $n$  and checking the result of  $M(n)$ , but we can't check all of them exhaustively.

As a concrete example, consider the following open conjecture in number theory:

**Conjecture:** There are no odd perfect numbers. ("A perfect number is a positive integer that is equal to the sum of its proper positive divisors" – Wikipedia)

Let

$$\begin{array}{l}
 P = \text{"there exists an odd perfect number"} \\
 Q(x) = \text{"x is not an odd perfect number"}
 \end{array}$$

Then we can define a terminating program that proves  $\forall x:\tau. P \vee Q(x)$  true: given any  $x$ , it checks if  $x$  is odd and perfect, which is possible in finite time. If it is, then we have a proof of  $P$  true, and if not, we have a proof of  $Q(x)$  true. However, there is no way to use this program to construct a new terminating program which proves  $P \vee \forall x:\tau. Q(x)$  true, because this program would have to decide if there are *any* odd perfect numbers – if we could, we would solve the conjecture!]

## 2 Natural numbers

### 2.1 Recap of rules

$$\frac{}{z : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_z \quad \frac{n : \text{nat}}{s n : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_s \quad \frac{\frac{}{x : \text{nat}} \quad \frac{}{C(x) \text{ true}}^u \quad \dots}{C(s x) \text{ true}}}{\frac{n : \text{nat} \quad C(z) \text{ true}}{C(n) \text{ true}}} \text{nat}E^{x,u}$$

### 2.2 Primitive recursion

$$\frac{n : \text{nat} \quad t_z : \tau \quad \frac{\frac{}{x : \text{nat}} \quad \frac{}{r : \tau}}{\dots}}{t_s : \tau}}{R(n, t_z, x. r. t_s) : \tau} \text{nat}E^{x,r}$$

#### 2.2.1 Local reduction

$$R(z, M_z, x. u. M_s) \Rightarrow_R M_z$$

$$R(s n', M_z, x. u. M_s) \Rightarrow_R [R(n', M_z, x. u. M_s)/u][n'/x]M_s$$

#### 2.2.2 Local expansion

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{n : \text{nat}} \Rightarrow_E \frac{\mathcal{D} \quad \frac{}{z : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_z \quad \frac{\frac{}{x : \text{nat}}}{s x : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_s}{R(n, z, x. r. s x) : \text{nat}}}{\text{nat}E^{x,r}}$$

## 2.3 Arithmetic

**Task 4.** Define double on natural numbers.

**Solution:**

$$\text{double}(n) \triangleq R(n, z, x.r. s(s r))$$

**Task 5.** Evaluate double (s(s z)).

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{double}(s(s z)) &= R(s(s z), z, x.r. s(s r)) \\ &\Rightarrow_R [s z/x][R(s z, z, x.r. s(s r))/r] s(s r) \\ &= s(s(R(s z, z, x.r. s(s r)))) \\ &\Rightarrow_R s(s([z/x][R(z, z, x.r. s(s r))/r] s(s r))) \\ &= s(s(s(s(R(z, z, x.r. s(s r)))))) \\ &\Rightarrow_R s(s(s(s z))) \end{aligned}$$

**Task 6.** Define plus on natural numbers.

**Solution:**

$$\text{plus}(m, n) \triangleq R(m, n, x.r. s r)$$

**Task 7.** Define minus on natural numbers.

**Solution:** First we define a predecessor function:

$$\text{pred}(n) \triangleq R(n, z, x.r.x)$$

Then we can define

$$\text{minus}(m, n) \triangleq R(m, n, x.r.\text{pred}(r))$$