

0 Sidenote

A bunch of people asked if this is a valid reduction step:

$$\langle \text{fst } u, \text{snd } u \rangle \Rightarrow_R u$$

This is actually a thing that some people call an eta-reduction (kind of like the opposite of eta-expansions). We don't have a rule for this in our system, but it's a thing.

1 Quantifier proofs

Task 1. $(\forall x : \tau. \neg A(x)) \supset (\neg \exists x : \tau. A(x))$ true

Task 2. $(\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \supset (\exists x : \tau. P(x) \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x))$ true

Task 3. $(P \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x)) \supset (\exists x : \tau. P \wedge Q(x)) \text{ true}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}}{\exists x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}}^u \wedge E_R \quad \frac{}{a : \tau} \quad \frac{P \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}}{\exists x : \tau. P \wedge Q(x) \text{ true}}^{\exists I}}{P \wedge Q(a) \text{ true}}^{\wedge E_L} \quad \frac{P \text{ true}}{P \wedge Q(a) \text{ true}}^v}{\frac{P \wedge \exists x : \tau. P \wedge Q(x) \text{ true}}{(P \wedge \exists x : \tau. Q(x)) \supset (\exists x : \tau. P \wedge Q(x)) \text{ true}}^{\exists E^{a,v}}}^{\supset I^u}$$

Task 4. $(P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x)) \supset (\forall x : \tau. P \vee Q(x))$ true

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}}{P \vee \forall x : \tau. Q(x) \text{ true}} u \quad \frac{\frac{P \text{ true}}{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}} v}{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}} \vee I_L}{P \vee Q(a) \text{ true}} \forall I^a}{\forall x : \tau. P \vee Q(x) \text{ true}} \supset I^u$$

2 Natural numbers

2.1 Recap of rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{0 : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_0 \quad \frac{n : \text{nat}}{S n : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_s \\
 \frac{}{n : \text{nat}} \frac{C(0) \text{ true}}{C(n) \text{ true}} \quad \frac{}{x : \text{nat}} \frac{C(x) \text{ true}}{u} \\
 \frac{}{\vdots} \quad \frac{}{\vdots} \\
 \frac{}{C(S x) \text{ true}} \frac{}{C(n) \text{ true}} \text{nat}E^{x,u}
 \end{array}$$

Recall how the $\text{nat}E$ rule is essentially induction.

Task 5. Prove

$$\forall n : \text{nat}. C(0) \supset (\forall x : \text{nat}. C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n)$$

2.2 Sidenote on local reduction

In lecture, we saw a perfectly normal-looking local reduction involving the $\text{nat}I_0$ rule. Then we followed that up with a really strange-looking local reduction involving $\text{nat}I_s$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{D}{n' : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_s \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{C(0) \text{ true}} \quad \frac{x : \text{nat} \quad \frac{C(x) \text{ true}}{u}}{C(s x) \text{ true}}}{\text{nat}E^{x,u}}}{C(s n') \text{ true}} \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{D}{n' : \text{nat}} \text{nat}I_s \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}}{C(0) \text{ true}} \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{F}}{C(s x) \text{ true}}}{\frac{x : \text{nat} \quad \frac{C(x) \text{ true}}{u}}{C(n') \text{ true}}}}}{[n'/x]\mathcal{F}'} \\ \Rightarrow_R \quad \frac{C(s n') \text{ true}}{C(s n') \text{ true}}
 \end{array}$$

where everything looks bigger and messier and more convoluted. How is this even a reduction???

The important part is that initially, we were using $\text{nat}E$ on some $S n'$, but after the reduction, we're using $\text{nat}E$ on n' . We've "decomposed" $S n'$ into its components (ok, single component), and it should be intuitively obvious that n' is smaller than $S n'$, since it contains one less constructor. Finally, since our introduction rules for natural numbers guarantee us a well-founded order for them, we know that this process terminates after a finite amount of time.

Having said that, we now observe that we can't really do a local expansion with the stuff we have now. Perfect segue into *primitive recursion*!

2.3 Primitive recursion

We allow primitive recursion on natural numbers by a proof term assignment, where we define a *recursor*, which we call R .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{x : \text{nat}} \quad \frac{}{r : \tau} \\
 \vdots \\
 \frac{n : \text{nat} \quad t_0 : \tau \quad t_s : \tau}{R(n, t_0, x. r. t_s) : \tau} \text{nat}E^{x,r} \quad \frac{}{x : \text{nat}} \quad \frac{}{u : C(x) \text{ true}} \\
 \vdots \\
 \frac{n : \text{nat} \quad M_0 : C(0) \text{ true} \quad M_s : C(S x) \text{ true}}{R(n, M_0, x. u. M_s) : C(n) \text{ true}} \text{nat}E^{x,u}
 \end{array}$$

Ok, lots of notation; what does it mean?

- t_0 is what we get back when $n = 0$, i.e. the base case
- t_s is what we get back when $n = S n'$, i.e. the inductive/recursive case

- $x = n'$, i.e. x is bound to the predecessor of n
- $r = R(n', t_0, x. r. t_s)$, i.e. r is bound to the result of calling the recursor on the predecessor of n

Similarly, on the side with proof terms (M 's instead of t 's).

2.3.1 Local reduction

There are 2 cases for the local reduction with proof terms, of course.

$$\begin{aligned} R(0, M_0, x. u. M_s) &\xrightarrow{R} M_0 \\ R(S n', M_0, x. u. M_s) &\xrightarrow{R} [R(n', M_0, x. u. M_s)/u][n'/x]M_s \end{aligned}$$

2.3.2 Local expansion

Using the recursor as defined on the datatype, we can write a local expansion!

$$n : \text{nat} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} E \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}}{n : \text{nat}} \frac{}{0 : \text{nat}} \text{natI}_0 \quad \frac{x : \text{nat}}{Sx : \text{nat}} \text{natI}_s \quad \frac{}{R(n, 0, x. r. Sx) : \text{nat}} \text{natE}^{x,r}$$

2.4 Adding and multiplying

Task 6. Define plus on natural numbers.

How do we think about addition in terms of primitive recursion? Well,

$$\begin{aligned} m + 0 &= m \\ m + Sn &= S(m + n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{plus} = \lambda m : \text{nat}. \lambda n : \text{nat}. R(n, m, n'. r. S r)$$

Task 7. Define mult on natural numbers, using plus.

$$\begin{aligned} m \times 0 &= 0 \\ m \times (Sn) &= (m \times n) + m \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{mult} = \lambda m : \text{nat}. \lambda n : \text{nat}. R(n, 0, x. r. \text{plus}(r, m))$$

Task 8. Define the Ackermann function!